



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/950,071	09/12/2001	Mike Farwick	212532US0X	1541
22850	7590 07/25/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		FRONDA, CHRISTIAN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/950,071	FARWICK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christian L Fronda	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-17,19-26 and 38-49</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-9 and 23-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,10-12,16,17,19-22,26 and 38-49</u>	5)区 Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,10-12,16,17,19-22,26 and 38-49</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	·				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2001</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		,				
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/950,071

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on 6/25/2003 has been entered
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 10-17, 19-22, 26, and 38-49 are under consideration in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st Paragraph

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/25/2003 (Paper No. 18) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' position is that the amount of experimentation is not undue since the specification teaches SEQ ID NO: 1 and a process for screening for the claimed polynucleotides. The Examiner disagrees for reasons of record and the reasons stated below.

The claims encompass any isolated polynucleotide which is at least 70%, 80%, or 90% identity to SEQ ID NO: 1 and encodes a protein which has the activity of the RodA cell division protein. However, the specification only provides guidance to search for the claimed polynucleotides which is **not guidance needed to make the claimed invention**. The specification does not provide guidance with respect to the specific catalytic/structural amino acids and the structural motifs essential for protein structure and activity/function which must be preserved. The specification does not teach the specific nucleotide(s) of SEQ ID NO: 1 which are to be altered.

The standard for meeting the enablement requirement is whether one of skill in the art can make the invention without undue experimentation. The amount of experimentation to make the claimed polynucleotides is enormous and undue and entails deleting, adding, substituting, or



Application/Control Number: 09/950,071

Art Unit: 1652

combinations thereof nucleotides in SEQ ID NO: 1 to make a polynucleotide that is at least 70%, 80%, or 90% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 and determining whether the polynucleotide has the activity of the RodA cell division protein.

Thus, searching for the specific nucleotides to substitute, delete, insert, or combinations thereof in SEQ ID NO: 1 is well outside the realm of routine experimentation and predictability in the art of success in determining whether the resulting polypeptide has activity of the RodA cell division protein is extremely low since no information is provided by the specification regarding the specific catalytic amino acids and the structural motifs essential for enzyme structure and activity/function which must be preserved.

The Examiner finds that one skilled in the art would require additional guidance, such as information regarding the specific catalytic/structural amino acids and the structural motifs essential for enzyme structure and activity/function which must be preserved. Without such a guidance, the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is undue.

Conclusion

- 5. Claims 1, 3-6, 10-12, 16, 17, 19-22, 26, 38-49 are allowed.
- 6. The information disclosure statement filed 2/11/2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a **list** of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 7. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

Application/Control Number: 09/950,071

Page 4

Art Unit: 1652

final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L. Fronda whose telephone number is (703)305-1252. The Examiner can be contacted Monday-Friday from 8:30AM - 5:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703)308-3804. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

CLF

PONNATHAPU ACHU AMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600